

checked by HT
on 8/15/16

CERTIFICATION

SDG No: MC46976 Laboratory: Accutest, Massachusetts
Site: BMSMC, Phase 2A Release Matrix: Groundwater
Assessment, Humacao, PR
Humacao, PR

SUMMARY: Groundwater samples (Table 1) were collected on the BMSMC facility – Phase 2A Release Assessment Area. The BMSMC facility is located in Humacao, PR. Samples were taken July 20-22, 2016 and were analyzed in Accutest Laboratory of Marlborough, Massachusetts that reported the data under SDG No.: MC46976. Results were validated using the following quality control criteria of the methods employed (MAPED EPH, Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection, 2004) and the latest validation guidelines (July, 2015) of the EPA Hazardous Waste Support Section. The analyses performed are shown in Table 1. Individual data review worksheets are enclosed for each target analyte group. The data sample organic data samples summary form shows for analytes results that were qualified.

In summary the results are valid and can be used for decision taking purposes.

Table 1. Samples analyzed and analysis performed

SAMPLE ID	SAMPLE DESCRIPTION	MATRIX	ANALYSIS PERFORMED
MC46976-1	OSGP13-GWD	Groundwater	Extractable TPHC Ranges
MC46976-2	OSGP13-GWS	Groundwater	Extractable TPHC Ranges
MC46976-2D	OSGP13-GWS MSD	Groundwater	Extractable TPHC Ranges
MC46976-2S	OSGP13-GWS MS	Groundwater	Extractable TPHC Ranges
MC46976-3	OSGP14-GWD	Groundwater	Extractable TPHC Ranges
MC46976-4	BPEB-14	AQ – Equipment Blank	Extractable TPHC Ranges

Reviewer Name: Rafael Infante
Chemist License 1888

Signature:

Date:

August 9, 2016

Rafael Infante
ESTADO LIBRE ASOCIADO DE PUERTO RICO
Rafael Infante
Méndez
LIC # 1888
QUIMICO
591677

SGS Accutest

Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 1

Client Sample ID:	OSGP13-GWD	Date Sampled:	07/20/16
Lab Sample ID:	MC46976-1	Date Received:	07/23/16
Matrix:	AQ - Ground Water	Percent Solids:	n/a
Method:	MADEP EPH REV 1.1 SW846 3510C		
Project:	BMSMC Phase 2A Release Assessment, Humacao, PR		

Run #	File ID	DF	Analyzed	By	Prep Date	Prep Batch	Analytical Batch
Run #1	DE14999.D	1	07/28/16	TA	07/25/16	OP48258	GDE835
Run #2							

Run #	Initial Volume	Final Volume
Run #1	880 ml	2.0 ml
Run #2		

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q
83-32-9	Acenaphthene	ND	5.7	1.8	ug/l	
208-96-8	Acenaphthylene	ND	5.7	0.40	ug/l	
120-12-7	Anthracene	ND	5.7	0.66	ug/l	
56-55-3	Benzo(a)anthracene	ND	5.7	0.34	ug/l	
50-32-8	Benzo(a)pyrene	ND	5.7	0.33	ug/l	
205-99-2	Benzo(b)fluoranthene	ND	5.7	0.51	ug/l	
191-24-2	Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	ND	5.7	0.42	ug/l	
207-08-9	Benzo(k)fluoranthene	ND	5.7	0.40	ug/l	
218-01-9	Chrysene	ND	5.7	0.49	ug/l	
53-70-3	Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	ND	5.7	0.44	ug/l	
206-44-0	Fluoranthene	ND	5.7	0.38	ug/l	
86-73-7	Fluorene	ND	5.7	0.45	ug/l	
193-39-5	Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	ND	5.7	0.33	ug/l	
91-57-6	2-Methylnaphthalene	0.67	5.7	0.51	ug/l	J
91-20-3	Naphthalene	1.5	5.7	1.1	ug/l	J
85-01-8	Phenanthrene	ND	5.7	0.35	ug/l	
129-00-0	Pyrene	ND	5.7	0.68	ug/l	
	C11-C22 Aromatics (Unadj.)	44.9	110	33	ug/l	JB
	C9-C18 Aliphatics	ND	110	19	ug/l	
	C19-C36 Aliphatics	ND	110	31	ug/l	
	C11-C22 Aromatics	42.7	110	33	ug/l	JB

CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run# 1	Run# 2	Limits
84-15-1	o-Terphenyl	61%		40-140%
321-60-8	2-Fluorobiphenyl	67%		40-140%
3386-33-2	1-Chlorooctadecane	44%		40-140%
580-13-2	2-Bromonaphthalene	76%		40-140%



ND = Not detected MDL = Method Detection Limit

RL = Reporting Limit

E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value

B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank

N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound

SGS Accutest

Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 1

Client Sample ID: OSGP13-GWS
 Lab Sample ID: MC46976-2
 Matrix: AQ - Ground Water
 Method: MADEP EPH REV 1.1 SW846 3510C
 Project: BMSMC Phase 2A Release Assessment, Humacao, PR

Date Sampled: 07/21/16
 Date Received: 07/23/16
 Percent Solids: n/a

Run #	File ID	DF	Analyzed	By	Prep Date	Prep Batch	Analytical Batch
Run #1	DE15000.D	1	07/28/16	TA	07/25/16	OP48258	GDE835
Run #2							

Run #	Initial Volume	Final Volume
Run #1	890 ml	2.0 ml
Run #2		

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q
83-32-9	Acenaphthene	ND	5.6	1.8	ug/l	
208-96-8	Acenaphthylene	ND	5.6	0.40	ug/l	
120-12-7	Anthracene	ND	5.6	0.65	ug/l	
56-55-3	Benzo(a)anthracene	ND	5.6	0.34	ug/l	
50-32-8	Benzo(a)pyrene	ND	5.6	0.33	ug/l	
205-99-2	Benzo(b)fluoranthene	ND	5.6	0.50	ug/l	
191-24-2	Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	ND	5.6	0.42	ug/l	
207-08-9	Benzo(k)fluoranthene	ND	5.6	0.40	ug/l	
218-01-9	Chrysene	ND	5.6	0.49	ug/l	
53-70-3	Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	ND	5.6	0.44	ug/l	
206-44-0	Fluoranthene	ND	5.6	0.38	ug/l	
86-73-7	Fluorene	ND	5.6	0.45	ug/l	
193-39-5	Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	ND	5.6	0.33	ug/l	
91-57-6	2-Methylnaphthalene	ND	5.6	0.51	ug/l	
91-20-3	Naphthalene	1.1	5.6	1.1	ug/l	J
85-01-8	Phenanthrene	ND	5.6	0.34	ug/l	
129-00-0	Pyrene	ND	5.6	0.67	ug/l	
	C11-C22 Aromatics (Unadj.)	38.5	110	32	ug/l	JB
	C9-C18 Aliphatics	ND	110	19	ug/l	
	C19-C36 Aliphatics	ND	110	30	ug/l	
	C11-C22 Aromatics	37.0	110	32	ug/l	JB

CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run# 1	Run# 2	Limits
84-15-1	o-Terphenyl	67%		40-140%
321-60-8	2-Fluorobiphenyl	69%		40-140%
3386-33-2	1-Chlorooctadecane	55%		40-140%
580-13-2	2-Bromonaphthalene	75%		40-140%



ND = Not detected MDL = Method Detection Limit
 RL = Reporting Limit
 E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value
 B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank
 N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound

SGS Accutest

Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 1

Client Sample ID:	OSGP14-GWD	Date Sampled:	07/21/16
Lab Sample ID:	MC46976-3	Date Received:	07/23/16
Matrix:	AQ - Ground Water	Percent Solids:	n/a
Method:	MADEP EPH REV 1.1 SW846 3510C		
Project:	BMSMC Phase 2A Release Assessment, Humacao, PR		

Run #	File ID	DF	Analyzed	By	Prep Date	Prep Batch	Analytical Batch
Run #1	DE15001.D	1	07/28/16	TA	07/25/16	OP48258	GDE835
Run #2							

Run #	Initial Volume	Final Volume
Run #1	740 ml	2.0 ml
Run #2		

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q
83-32-9	Acenaphthene	ND	6.8	2.1	ug/l	
208-96-8	Acenaphthylene	ND	6.8	0.48	ug/l	
120-12-7	Anthracene	ND	6.8	0.78	ug/l	
56-55-3	Benzo(a)anthracene	ND	6.8	0.41	ug/l	
50-32-8	Benzo(a)pyrene	ND	6.8	0.39	ug/l	
205-99-2	Benzo(b)fluoranthene	ND	6.8	0.60	ug/l	
191-24-2	Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	ND	6.8	0.50	ug/l	
207-08-9	Benzo(k)fluoranthene	ND	6.8	0.48	ug/l	
218-01-9	Chrysene	ND	6.8	0.58	ug/l	
53-70-3	Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	ND	6.8	0.52	ug/l	
206-44-0	Fluoranthene	ND	6.8	0.45	ug/l	
86-73-7	Fluorene	ND	6.8	0.54	ug/l	
193-39-5	Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	ND	6.8	0.40	ug/l	
91-57-6	2-Methylnaphthalene	0.70	6.8	0.61	ug/l	J
91-20-3	Naphthalene	1.4	6.8	1.3	ug/l	J
85-01-8	Phenanthrene	ND	6.8	0.41	ug/l	
129-00-0	Pyrene	ND	6.8	0.81	ug/l	
	C11-C22 Aromatics (Unadj.)	60.3	140	39	ug/l	JB
	C9-C18 Aliphatics	ND	140	23	ug/l	
	C19-C36 Aliphatics	64.5	140	37	ug/l	J
	C11-C22 Aromatics	57.6	140	39	ug/l	JB

CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run# 1	Run# 2	Limits
84-15-1	o-Terphenyl	50%		40-140%
321-60-8	2-Fluorobiphenyl	67%		40-140%
3386-33-2	1-Chlorooctadecane	40%		40-140%
580-13-2	2-Bromonaphthalene	63%		40-140%



ND = Not detected MDL = Method Detection Limit
 RL = Reporting Limit
 E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value
 B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank
 N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound

SGS Accutest

Report of Analysis

Page 1 of 1

Client Sample ID:	BPEB-14	Date Sampled:	07/22/16
Lab Sample ID:	MC46976-4	Date Received:	07/23/16
Matrix:	AQ - Equipment Blank	Percent Solids:	n/a
Method:	MADEP EPH REV 1.1 SW846 3510C		
Project:	BMSMC Phase 2A Release Assessment, Humacao, PR		

Run #	File ID	DF	Analyzed	By	Prep Date	Prep Batch	Analytical Batch
Run #1	DE15002.D	1	07/28/16	TA	07/25/16	OP48258	GDE835
Run #2							

Run #	Initial Volume	Final Volume
Run #1	920 ml	2.0 ml
Run #2		

CAS No.	Compound	Result	RL	MDL	Units	Q
83-32-9	Acenaphthene	ND	5.4	1.7	ug/l	
208-96-8	Acenaphthylene	ND	5.4	0.39	ug/l	
120-12-7	Anthracene	ND	5.4	0.63	ug/l	
56-55-3	Benzo(a)anthracene	ND	5.4	0.33	ug/l	
50-32-8	Benzo(a)pyrene	ND	5.4	0.32	ug/l	
205-99-2	Benzo(b)fluoranthene	ND	5.4	0.49	ug/l	
191-24-2	Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	ND	5.4	0.40	ug/l	
207-08-9	Benzo(k)fluoranthene	ND	5.4	0.38	ug/l	
218-01-9	Chrysene	ND	5.4	0.47	ug/l	
53-70-3	Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	ND	5.4	0.42	ug/l	
206-44-0	Fluoranthene	ND	5.4	0.36	ug/l	
86-73-7	Fluorene	ND	5.4	0.43	ug/l	
193-39-5	Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	ND	5.4	0.32	ug/l	
91-57-6	2-Methylnaphthalene	0.60	5.4	0.49	ug/l	J
91-20-3	Naphthalene	1.4	5.4	1.0	ug/l	J
85-01-8	Phenanthrene	ND	5.4	0.33	ug/l	
129-00-0	Pyrene	ND	5.4	0.65	ug/l	
	C11-C22 Aromatics (Unadj.)	42.3	110	31	ug/l	JB
	C9-C18 Aliphatics	ND	110	18	ug/l	
	C19-C36 Aliphatics	ND	110	29	ug/l	
	C11-C22 Aromatics	39.8	110	31	ug/l	JB

CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	Run# 1	Run# 2	Limits
84-15-1	o-Terphenyl	71%		40-140%
321-60-8	2-Fluorobiphenyl	76%		40-140%
3386-33-2	1-Chlorooctadecane	45%		40-140%
580-13-2	2-Bromonaphthalene	84%		40-140%



ND = Not detected MDL = Method Detection Limit
 RL = Reporting Limit
 E = Indicates value exceeds calibration range

J = Indicates an estimated value
 B = Indicates analyte found in associated method blank
 N = Indicates presumptive evidence of a compound

Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate Summary

Page 1 of 1

Job Number: MC46976

Account: AMANYWP Anderson Mulholland and Assoc.

Project: BMSMC Phase 2A Release Assessment, Humacao, PR

Sample	File ID	DF	Analyzed	By	Prep Date	Prep Batch	Analytical Batch
OP48258-MS	DE14997.D	1	07/28/16	TA	07/25/16	OP48258	GDE835
OP48258-MSD	DE14998.D	1	07/28/16	TA	07/25/16	OP48258	GDE835
MC46976-2	DE15000.D	1	07/28/16	TA	07/25/16	OP48258	GDE835

The QC reported here applies to the following samples:

Method: MADEP EPH REV 1.1

MC46976-1, MC46976-2, MC46976-3, MC46976-4

CAS No.	Compound	MC46976-2 ug/l	Spike Q	MS ug/l	MS %	Spike ug/l	MSD ug/l	MSD %	RPD	Limits Rec/RPD
83-32-9	Acenaphthene	ND		56.2	63	56.8	34.7	61	3	40-140/25
208-96-8	Acenaphthylene	ND		56.2	60	56.8	31.9	56	5	40-140/25
120-12-7	Anthracene	ND		56.2	65	56.8	35.2	62	4	40-140/25
56-55-3	Benzo(a)anthracene	ND		56.2	72	56.8	39.8	70	2	40-140/25
50-32-8	Benzo(a)pyrene	ND		56.2	76	56.8	41.5	73	3	40-140/25
205-99-2	Benzo(b)fluoranthene	ND		56.2	77	56.8	41.9	74	3	40-140/25
191-24-2	Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	ND		56.2	79	56.8	42.8	75	4	40-140/25
207-08-9	Benzo(k)fluoranthene	ND		56.2	72	56.8	39.1	69	3	40-140/25
218-01-9	Chrysene	ND		56.2	75	56.8	40.3	71	4	40-140/25
53-70-3	Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	ND		56.2	81	56.8	44.1	78	3	40-140/25
206-44-0	Fluoranthene	ND		56.2	71	56.8	38.3	67	4	40-140/25
86-73-7	Fluorene	ND		56.2	62	56.8	33.1	58	4	40-140/25
193-39-5	Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	ND		56.2	75	56.8	41.5	73	2	40-140/25
91-57-6	2-Methylnaphthalene	ND		56.2	57	56.8	30.7	54	4	40-140/25
91-20-3	Naphthalene	1.1	J	56.2	56	56.8	31.0	53	4	40-140/25
85-01-8	Phenanthrene	ND		56.2	64	56.8	34.0	60	5	40-140/25
129-00-0	Pyrene	ND		56.2	71	56.8	37.9	67	5	40-140/25
	C11-C22 Aromatics (Unadj.)	38.5	JB	899	78	909	709	74	4	40-140/25
	C9-C18 Aliphatics	ND		337	76	341	244	72	4	40-140/25
	C19-C36 Aliphatics	ND		449	91	455	401	88	2	40-140/25

CAS No.	Surrogate Recoveries	MS	MSD	MC46976-2	Limits
84-15-1	o-Terphenyl	70%	71%	67%	40-140%
321-60-8	2-Fluorobiphenyl	76%	68%	69%	40-140%
3386-33-2	1-Chlorooctadecane	58%	50%	55%	40-140%
580-13-2	2-Bromonaphthalene	75%	67%	75%	40-140%



* = Outside of Control Limits.



CHAIN OF CUSTODY

PAGE 1 OF 1

NE

50 D'Angelo Dr., Building One, Marlborough, MA 01753
TEL: 508-481-4350 FAX: 508-481-7733
www.accutest.com

809960562836

Matrix Order Control # MC46976
Assigned Job # *act from NJ*

Client / Reporting Information		Project Information		Requested Analysis (see TEST CODE sheet)												Matrix Codes	
Company Name Anderson Mulholland & Associates		Project Name BMSMC Phase 2A Release Assessment														<div>Matrix Codes</div> <div>DW - Drinking Water GW - Ground Water WW - Water SW - Surface Water SO - Soil SL - Sludge SED - Sediment OI - Oil LIQ - Other Liquid AIR - Air SOL - Other Solid WP - Waste PS-Field Blank RS - Rinse Blank TS-Trip Blank</div>	
Street Address 2700 Westchester Avenue, Suite 417		Street 															
City NY		City Hurricane															
State NY		State PR															
Purchase Order # 10677		Project # 021															
Project Contact Terry Taylor		Client Purchase Order # 															
Phone # 914-281-0400		Fax # 															
Sample(s) Material N. Rivera, R. Stuart, R. O'Reilly, T. Taylor		Present Manager Terry Taylor															
Field ID / Point of Collection		Collection		Matrix Codes												LAB USE ONLY	
MECH/MSD #		Date		Time		Sample #		Matrix		# of bottles		Matrix Codes					
-1 OSGP13-GWD		7-20-16		1515		TT		GW		2 2		X X					
OSGP13-GWS		7-21-16		1230		TT		GW		2 2		X X					
OSGP13MS-GWS		7-21-16		1230		TT		GW		2 2		X X					
OSGP13MSD-GWS		7-21-16		1230		TT		GW		2 2		X X					
-3 OSGP14-GWD		7-21-16		1545		TT		GW		2 2		X X		16E			
-4 DEEB-14		7-22-16		0945		RS		EW		2 2		X X					
Turnaround Time (Business days)		Approved By (Account Mgr) / Date		Data Deliverable Information												Comments / Special Instructions	
<input type="checkbox"/> Std. 15 Business Days <input type="checkbox"/> Std. 10 Business Days (by Contract only) <input type="checkbox"/> 10 Day RUSH <input type="checkbox"/> 5 Day RUSH <input type="checkbox"/> 3 Day EMERGENCY <input type="checkbox"/> 2 Day EMERGENCY <input type="checkbox"/> 1 Day EMERGENCY Emergency & Rush T&A rates available via L&M		<input type="checkbox"/> Commercial "A" (Level 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Commercial "B" (Level 2) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> FULL TT (Level 3+) <input type="checkbox"/> NJ Reduced <input type="checkbox"/> Commercial "C" Commercial "A" = Results Only Commercial "B" = Results + QC Summary NJ Reduced = Results + QC Summary + Partial Raw data		<input type="checkbox"/> RTASP Category A <input type="checkbox"/> RTASP Category B <input type="checkbox"/> State Permit <input type="checkbox"/> EDO Permit <input type="checkbox"/> Other												INITIAL ASSESSMENT <i>RT</i> LABEL VERIFICATION <i>RT</i>	
Sample Chain of Custody must be documented below each time samples change possession, including courier delivery.		Received By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Received By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Received By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Received By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Received By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Received By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Received By: <i>FEDEX</i>			
Date Time: <i>7-22-16 1700</i>		Date Time: <i>7/22/16</i>		Date Time: <i>7/22/16</i>		Date Time: <i>7/22/16</i>		Date Time: <i>7/22/16</i>		Date Time: <i>7/22/16</i>		Date Time: <i>7/22/16</i>		Date Time: <i>7/22/16</i>			
Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>			
Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>			
Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>			
Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>			
Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>			
Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>			
Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>			
Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>			
Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>			
Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>			
Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>			
Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>			
Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>			
Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>			
Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>			
Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>			
Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>			
Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>			
Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>			
Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>			
Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>			
Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>			
Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>			
Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>			
Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>			
Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>			
Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>			
Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>			
Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>			
Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>			
Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>			
Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>			
Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>			
Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>			
Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>			
Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>			
Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>			
Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>			
Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>			
Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>			
Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>			
Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>			
Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>			
Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>			
Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>			
Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>			
Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>			
Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>			
Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>			
Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>			
Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>			
Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>			
Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>			
Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>			
Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>			
Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>			
Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>			
Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>		Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>			
Redeveloped By: <i>FEDEX</i>																	

EXECUTIVE NARRATIVE

SDG No: **MC46976** Laboratory: **Accutest, Massachusetts**
Analysis: **MADEP EPH** Number of Samples: **6**
Location: **BMSMC, Phase 2A Release Assessment Area**
Humacao, PR

SUMMARY: Six (6) samples were analyzed for Volatiles TPHC Ranges by method MADEP EPH. Samples were validated following the METHOD FOR THE DETERMINATION OF EXTRACTABLE PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS (EPH) quality control criteria, Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection, Revision 1.1 (2004). Also the general validation guidelines promulgated by the USEPA Hazardous Wastes Support Section. The QC criteria and data validation actions listed on the data review worksheets are from the primary guidance document, unless otherwise noted.

Results are valid and can be used for decision making purposes.

Critical issues: **None**
Major: **None**
Minor: **None**

Critical findings: **None**
Major findings: **None**
Minor findings: 1. Analytes detected in method blank at a concentration below the reporting limits. Analytes detected in sample batch above MDL but below the reporting limits. Laboratory qualified the results as JB, no further qualification required.

COMMENTS: Results are valid and can be used for decision making purposes.

Reviewers Name: **Rafael Infante**
Chemist License 1888

Signature:



Date: **August 9, 2016**

SAMPLE ORGANIC DATA SAMPLE SUMMARY

Sample ID: MC46976-1

Sample location: BSMC Phase 2A Release Assessment, Humacao, PR

Sampling date: 7/20/2016

Matrix: Groundwater

METHOD: 8270D

Analyte Name	Result	Units	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
Acenaphthene	5.7	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Acenaphthylene	5.7	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Anthracene	5.7	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(a)anthracene	5.7	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(a)pyrene	5.7	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	5.7	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	5.7	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	5.7	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Chrysene	5.7	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	5.7	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Fluoranthene	5.7	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Fluorene	5.7	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	5.7	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
2-Methylnaphthalene	0.67	ug/l	1	J	J	Yes
Naphthalene	1.5	ug/l	1	J	J	Yes
Phenanthrene	5.7	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Pyrene	5.7	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
C11-C22 Aromatics (Unadj.)	44.9	ug/l	1	JB	JB	Yes
C9-C18 Aliphatics	110	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
C19-C36 Aliphatics	110	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
C11-C22 Aromatics	42.7	ug/l	1	JB	JB	Yes

Sample ID: MC46976-2

Sample location: BMSMC Phase 2A Release Assessment, Humacao, PR

Sampling date: 7/21/2016

Matrix: Groundwater

METHOD: 8270D

Analyte Name	Result	Units	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
Acenaphthene	5.6	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Acenaphthylene	5.6	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Anthracene	5.6	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(a)anthracene	5.6	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(a)pyrene	5.6	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	5.6	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	5.6	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	5.6	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Chrysene	5.6	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	5.6	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Fluoranthene	5.6	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Fluorene	5.6	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	5.6	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
2-Methylnaphthalene	5.6	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Naphthalene	1.1	ug/l	1	J	J	Yes
Phenanthrene	5.6	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Pyrene	5.6	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
C11-C22 Aromatics (Unadj.)	38.5	ug/l	1	JB	JB	Yes
C9-C18 Aliphatics	110	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
C19-C36 Aliphatics	110	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
C11-C22 Aromatics	37.0	ug/l	1	JB	JB	Yes

Sample ID: MC46976-3

Sample location: BMSMC Phase 2A Release Assessment, Humacao, PR

Sampling date: 7/21/2016

Matrix: Groundwater

METHOD: 8270D

Analyte Name	Result	Units	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
Acenaphthene	6.8	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Acenaphthylene	6.8	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Anthracene	6.8	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(a)anthracene	6.8	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(a)pyrene	6.8	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	6.8	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	6.8	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	6.8	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Chrysene	6.8	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	6.8	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Fluoranthene	6.8	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Fluorene	6.8	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	6.8	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
2-Methylnaphthalene	0.70	ug/l	1	J	J	Yes
Naphthalene	1.4	ug/l	1	J	J	Yes
Phenanthrene	6.8	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Pyrene	6.8	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
C11-C22 Aromatics (Unadj.)	60.3	ug/l	1	JB	JB	Yes
C9-C18 Aliphatics	140	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
C19-C36 Aliphatics	140	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
C11-C22 Aromatics	57.6	ug/l	1	JB	JB	Yes

Sample ID: MC46976-4
Sample location: BMSMC Phase 2A Release Assessment, Humacao, PR
Sampling date: 7/22/2016
Matrix: AQ - Equipment Blank

METHOD: 8270D

Analyte Name	Result	Units	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
Acenaphthene	5.4	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Acenaphthylene	5.4	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Anthracene	5.4	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(a)anthracene	5.4	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(a)pyrene	5.4	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	5.4	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	5.4	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	5.4	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Chrysene	5.4	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	5.4	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Fluoranthene	5.4	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Fluorene	5.4	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	5.4	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
2-Methylnaphthalene	0.60	ug/l	1	J	J	Yes
Naphthalene	1.4	ug/l	1	J	J	Yes
Phenanthrene	5.4	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
Pyrene	5.4	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
C11-C22 Aromatics (Unadj.)	42.3	ug/l	1	JB	JB	Yes
C9-C18 Aliphatics	110	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
C19-C36 Aliphatics	110	ug/l	1	-	U	Yes
C11-C22 Aromatics	39.8	ug/l	1	JB	JB	Yes

Sample ID: MC46976-2MS
Sample location: BMSMC Phase 2A Release Assessment, Humacao, PR
Sampling date: 7/21/2016
Matrix: Groundwater

METHOD: 8270D

Analyte Name	Result	Units	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
Acenaphthene	35.6	ug/l	1	-	-	Yes
Acenaphthylene	33.7	ug/l	1	-	-	Yes
Anthracene	36.6	ug/l	1	-	-	Yes
Benzo(a)anthracene	40.7	ug/l	1	-	-	Yes
Benzo(a)pyrene	42.8	ug/l	1	-	-	Yes
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	43.1	ug/l	1	-	-	Yes
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	44.4	ug/l	1	-	-	Yes
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	40.3	ug/l	1	-	-	Yes
Chrysene	42.0	ug/l	1	-	-	Yes
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	45.4	ug/l	1	-	-	Yes
Fluoranthene	39.7	ug/l	1	-	-	Yes
Fluorene	34.6	ug/l	1	-	-	Yes
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	42.2	ug/l	1	-	-	Yes
2-Methylnaphthalene	31.9	ug/l	1	-	-	Yes
Naphthalene	32.4	ug/l	1	-	-	Yes
Phenanthrene	35.7	ug/l	1	-	-	Yes
Pyrene	39.9	ug/l	1	-	-	Yes
C11-C22 Aromatics (Unadj.)	740	ug/l	1	-	-	Yes
C9-C18 Aliphatics	337	ug/l	1	-	-	Yes
C19-C36 Aliphatics	449	ug/l	1	-	-	Yes

Sample ID: MC46976-2MSD

Sample location: BMSMC Phase 2A Release Assessment, Humacao, PR

Sampling date: 7/21/2016

Matrix: Groundwater

METHOD: 8270D

Analyte Name	Result	Units	Dilution Factor	Lab Flag	Validation	Reportable
Acenaphthene	34.7	ug/l	1	-	-	Yes
Acenaphthylene	31.9	ug/l	1	-	-	Yes
Anthracene	35.2	ug/l	1	-	-	Yes
Benzo(a)anthracene	39.8	ug/l	1	-	-	Yes
Benzo(a)pyrene	41.5	ug/l	1	-	-	Yes
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	41.9	ug/l	1	-	-	Yes
Benzo(g,h,i)perylene	42.8	ug/l	1	-	-	Yes
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	39.1	ug/l	1	-	-	Yes
Chrysene	40.3	ug/l	1	-	-	Yes
Dibenzo(a,h)anthracene	44.1	ug/l	1	-	-	Yes
Fluoranthene	38.3	ug/l	1	-	-	Yes
Fluorene	33.1	ug/l	1	-	-	Yes
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	41.5	ug/l	1	-	-	Yes
2-Methylnaphthalene	30.7	ug/l	1	-	-	Yes
Naphthalene	31.0	ug/l	1	-	-	Yes
Phenanthrene	34.0	ug/l	1	-	-	Yes
Pyrene	37.9	ug/l	1	-	-	Yes
C11-C22 Aromatics (Unadj.)	709	ug/l	1	-	-	Yes
C9-C18 Aliphatics	244	ug/l	1	-	-	Yes
C19-C36 Aliphatics	401	ug/l	1	-	-	Yes

DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

Type of validation Full: ☒ Limited: _____
 Project Number: MC46976
 Date: 07/20-22/2016
 Shipping date: 07/22/2016
 EPA Region: 2

REVIEW OF EXTRACTABLE PETROLEUM HYDROCARBON (EPHs) PACKAGE

The following guidelines for evaluating volatile organics were created to delineate required validation actions. This document will assist the reviewer in using professional judgment to make more informed decision and in better serving the needs of the data users. The sample results were assessed according to the data validation guidance documents in the following order of precedence METHOD FOR THE DETERMINATION OF EXTRACTABLE PETROLEUM HYDROCARBONS (EPH), Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection, Revision 1.1 (2004). Also the general validation guidelines promulgated by the USEPA Hazardous Wastes Support Section. The QC criteria and data validation actions listed on the data review worksheets are from the primary guidance document, unless otherwise noted.

The hardcopied (laboratory name) Accutest Laboratories data package received has been reviewed and the quality control and performance data summarized. The data review for SVOCs included:

Lab. Project/SDG No.: MC46976 Sample matrix: Groundwater
 No. of Samples: 6
 Field blank No.: MC46976-4
 Equipment blank No.:
 Trip blank No.:
 Field duplicate No.:

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Data Completeness	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Laboratory Control Spikes
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Holding Times	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Field Duplicates
<input type="checkbox"/> GC/MS Tuning	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Calibrations
<input type="checkbox"/> Internal Standard Performance	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Compound Identifications
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Blanks	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Compound Quantitation
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Surrogate Recoveries	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Quantitation Limits
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate	

Overall Comments:
 Extractable Petroleum Hydrocarbons by GC by Method MADEP_EPH_REV_1.1.
 (C9_to_C36_Aliphatics; C11_to_C22 (Aromatics))

Definition of Qualifiers:

J- Estimated results
 U- Compound not detected
 R- Rejected data
 UJ- Estimated nondetect

Reviewer: 
 Date: 08/09/2016

DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

All criteria were met x
Criteria were not met and/or see below _____

I. DATA COMPLETNESS

A. Data Package:

MISSING INFORMATION

DATE LAB. CONTACTED

DATE RECEIVED

[illegible]

B. Other

Discrepancies:

[illegible]

DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

All criteria were met X
Criteria were not met and/or see below

HOLDING TIMES

The objective of this parameter is to ascertain the validity of the results based on the holding time of the sample from time of collection to the time of extraction, and subsequently from the time of extraction to the time of analysis.

Complete table for all samples and note the analysis and/or preservation not within criteria

SAMPLE ID	DATE SAMPLED	DATE EXTRACTED	DATE ANALYZED	ACTION
Samples extracted and analyzed within method recommended holding time.				

Criteria

Preservation:

Aqueous samples must be acidified to a pH of 2.0 or less at the time of collection.

Soil samples must be cooled at 4 ± 2 °C immediately after collection.

Holding times:

Samples must be extracted within 14 days of collection, and analyzed within 40 days of extraction.

Cooler temperature (Criteria: 4 ± 2 °C): 2°C

Actions: Qualify positive results/nondetects as follows:

If holding times are exceeded, estimate positive results (J) and nondetects (UJ).

If holding times are grossly exceeded, use professional judgment to qualify data. The data reviewer may choose to estimate positive results (J) and rejects nondetects (R).

If samples were not at the proper temperature ($> 10^{\circ}\text{C}$) or improperly preserved, use professional judgment to qualify the results.

DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

All criteria were met X
Criteria were not met and/or see below

CALIBRATIONS VERIFICATION

Compliance requirements for satisfactory instrument calibration are established to ensure that the instrument is capable of producing and maintaining acceptable quantitative data.

Date of initial calibration: 06/22/16

Dates of initial calibration verification: 06/22/13

Instrument ID numbers: GCDE

Matrix/Level: AQUEOUS/MEDIUM

DATE	LAB FILE ID#	ANALYTE	CRITERIA OUT RFs, %RSD, %D, r	SAMPLES AFFECTED
Initial and initial calibration verification meet method specific requirements.				

Criteria- ICAL

- Five point calibration curve.
- The percent relative standard deviation (%RSD) of the calibration factor must be equal to or less than 25% over the working range for the analyte of interest. When this condition is met, linearity through the origin may be assumed, and the average calibration factor is used in lieu of a calibration curve.
- A collective calibration factor must also be established for each hydrocarbon range of interest. Calculate the collective CFs for C9-C18 Aliphatic Hydrocarbons, C19-C36 Aliphatic Hydrocarbons, and C11-C22 Aromatic Hydrocarbons using the FID chromatogram. Tabulate the summation of the peak areas of all components in that fraction against the total concentration injected. The %RSD of the calibration factor must be equal to or less than 25% over the working range for the hydrocarbon range of interest.
 - The area for the surrogates must be subtracted from the area summation of the range in which they elute.
 - The areas associated with naphthalene and 2-methylnaphthalene in the aliphatic range standard must be subtracted from the uncorrected collective C9-C18 Aliphatic Hydrocarbon range area prior to calculating the CF.

DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

Criteria- CCAL

- At a minimum, the working calibration factor must be verified on each working day, after every 20 samples or every 24 hours (whichever is more frequent), and at the end of the analytical sequence by the injection of a mid-level continuing calibration standard to verify instrument performance and linearity.
- If the percent difference (%D) for any analyte varies from the predicted response by more than $\pm 25\%$, a new five-point calibration must be performed for that analyte. Greater percent differences are permissible for n-nonane. If the %D for n-nonane is greater than 30, note the nonconformance in the case narrative. It should be noted that the %Ds are calculated when CFs are used for the initial calibration and percent drifts are calculated when calibration curves using linear regression are used for the initial calibration.

Actions:

If %RSD > 25% for target compounds or a correlation coefficient < 0.99, estimate positive results (J) and use professional judgment to qualify nondetects.

If % D > 25% (> 30 for nonane), estimate positive results (J) and nondetects (UJ).

CALIBRATIONS VERIFICATION

Compliance requirements for satisfactory instrument calibration are established to ensure that the instrument is capable of producing and maintaining acceptable quantitative data.

Date of initial calibration: _____ 06/22/16 _____

Dates of continuing calibration verification: _____ 07/28/16 _____

Dates of final calibration verification: _____ 07/28/16 _____

Instrument ID numbers: _____ GCDE _____

Matrix/Level: _____ SOIL/AQUEOUS/MEDIUM _____

DATE	LAB FILE ID#	ANALYTE	CRITERIA OUT RFs, %RSD, %D, r	SAMPLES AFFECTED
Initial and continuing calibration meet method specific requirements				

A separate worksheet should be filled for each initial curve

DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

All criteria were met _____
 Criteria were not met and/or see below X

V A. BLANK ANALYSIS RESULTS (Sections 1 & 2)

The assessment of the blank analysis results is to determine the existence and magnitude of contamination problems. The criteria for evaluation of blanks apply only to blanks associated with the samples, including trip, equipment, and laboratory blanks. If problems with any blanks exist, all data associated with the case must be carefully evaluated to determine whether or not there is an inherent variability in the data for the case, or if the problem is an isolated occurrence not affecting other data. A Laboratory Method Blank must be run after samples suspected of being highly contaminated to determine if sample carryover has occurred.

List the contamination in the blanks below. High and low levels blanks must be treated separately.

Laboratory blanks

DATE ANALYZED	LAB ID	LEVEL/ MATRIX	COMPOUND	CONCENTRATION UNITS
<u> METHOD BLANKS MEET THE METHOD SPECIFIC CRITERIA EXCEPT IN THE CASES DESCRIBED IN THIS DOCUMENT. </u>				
<u> 07/28/16 </u>	<u> OP48258-MB </u>	<u> Aqueous/low </u>	<u> C11-C22_Aromatics_(Unadj.) </u>	<u> 34.6 ug/l </u>
			<u> C11-C22_Aromaticis </u>	<u> 33.8 ug/l </u>

Note: Analytes detected in method blank at a concentration below the reporting limits. Analytes detected in sample batch above MDL but below the reporting limits. Laboratory qualified the results as JB, no further qualification required.

Field/Trip/Equipment

DATE ANALYZED	LAB ID	LEVEL/ MATRIX	COMPOUND	CONCENTRATION UNITS
<u> NO TARGET ANALYTES DETECTED IN THE EQUIPMENT BLANK ABOVE THE REPORTING LIMIT. NO FIELD ANALYZED ASSOCIATED WITH THIS DATA PACKAGE. </u>				

Note:

DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

All criteria were met _____
Criteria were not met and/or see below X

V B. BLANK ANALYSIS RESULTS (Section 3)

Blank Actions

The ALs for samples which have been diluted should be corrected for the sample dilution factor and/or % moisture, where applicable. Peaks must not be detected above the Reporting Limit within the retention time window of any analyte of interest. The hydrocarbon ranges must not be detected at a concentration greater than 10% of the most stringent MCP cleanup standard. Specific actions area as follows:

If the concentration is < sample quantitation limit (SQL) and < AL, report the compound as not detected (U) at the SQL.

If the concentration is \geq SQL but < AL, report the compound as not detected (U) at the reported concentration.

If the concentration is > AL, report the concentration unqualified.

DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

All criteria were met _____
 Criteria were not met and/or see below X

SURROGATE SPIKE RECOVERIES

Laboratory performance of individual samples is established by evaluation of surrogate spike recoveries. All samples are spiked with surrogate compounds prior to sample analysis. The accuracy of the analysis is measured by the surrogate percent recovery. Since the effects of the sample matrix are frequently outside the control of the laboratory and may present relatively unique problems, the validation of data is frequently subjective and demands analytical experience and professional judgment.

List the percent recoveries (%Rs) which do not meet the criteria for surrogate recovery.

Matrix: solid/aqueous

Samples and QC shown here apply to the above method

Lab Sample ID	Lab File ID	S1 a	S2 a	S3 b	S4 a
MC46976-1	DE14999.D	61	67	44	76
MC46976-2	DE15000.D	67	69	55	75
MC46976-3	DE15001.D	50	67	40	63
MC46976-4	DE15002.D	71	76	45	84
OP48258-BS	DE14994.D	83	80	60	80
OP48258-BSD	DE14995.D	66	63	66	62
OP48258-MB	DE14996.D	73	69	75	75
OP48258-MS	DE14997.D	70	76	58	75
OP48258-MSD	DE14998.D	71	68	50	67

Surrogate Compounds	Recovery Limits
S1 = o-Terphenyl	40-140%
S2 = 2-Fluorobiphenyl	40-140%
S3 = 1-Chlorooctadecane	40-140%
S4 = 2-Bromonaphthalene	40-140%

(a) Recovery from GC signal #1

(b) Recovery from GC signal #2

Note: SURROGATE STANDARDS RECOVERIES WITHIN LABORATORY CONTROL LIMITS.

It is recommended that surrogate standard recoveries be monitored and documented on a continuing basis. At a minimum, when surrogate recovery from a sample, blank, or QC sample is less than 40% or more than 140%, check calculations to locate possible errors, check the fortifying standard solution for degradation, and check changes in instrument performance.

DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

If the cause cannot be determined, reanalyze the sample unless one of the following exceptions applies:

- (1) Obvious interference is present on the chromatogram (e.g., unresolved complex mixture);
- (2) The surrogate exhibits high recovery and associated target analytes or hydrocarbon ranges are not detected in sample.

If a sample with a surrogate recovery outside of the acceptable range is not reanalyzed based on any of these aforementioned exceptions, this information must be noted on the data report form and discussed in the Executive Report. Analysis of the sample on dilution may diminish matrix-related surrogate recovery problems. This approach can be used as long as the reporting limits to evaluate applicable MCP standards can still be achieved with the dilution. If not, reanalysis without dilution must be performed.

DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

All criteria were met X
 Criteria were not met and/or see below

VII. A MATRIX SPIKE/MATRIX SPIKE DUPLICATE (MS/MSD)

This data is generated to determine long term precision and accuracy in the analytical method for various matrices. This data alone cannot be used to evaluate the precision and accuracy of individual samples.

At the request of the data user, and in consideration of sample matrices and data quality objectives, matrix spikes and matrix duplicates may be analyzed with every batch of 20 samples or less per matrix.

- **Matrix duplicate** - Matrix duplicates are prepared by analyzing one sample in duplicate. The purpose of the matrix duplicates is to determine the homogeneity of the sample matrix as well as analytical precision. The RPD of detected results in the matrix duplicate samples must not exceed 50 when the results are greater than 5x the reporting limit.
- The desired spiking level is 50% of the highest calibration standard. However, the total concentration in the MS (including the MS and native concentration in the unspiked sample) should not exceed 75% of the highest calibration standard in order for a proper evaluation to be performed. The purpose of the matrix spike is to determine whether the sample matrix contributes bias to the analytical results. The corrected concentrations of each analyte within the matrix spiking solution must be within 40 - 140% of the true value. Lower recoveries of n-nonane are permissible but must be noted in the narrative if <30%.

MS/MSD Recoveries and Precision Criteria

Sample ID: JC46976-2MS/-2MSD

Matrix/Level: Grounwater

List the %Rs, RPD of the compounds which do not meet the QC criteria.

MS OR MSD	COMPOUND	% R	RPD	QC LIMITS	ACTION

Note: MS/MSD analyzed with this sample batch. MS/MSD % recoveries and RPD within laboratory control limits. No action taken.

DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

All criteria were met X
 Criteria were not met and/or see below

No action is taken on MS/MSD results alone to qualify the entire case. However, used informed professional judgment, the data reviewer may use the MS/MSD results in conjunction with other QC criteria and determine the need for some qualification of the data. In those instances where it can be determined that the results of the MS/MSD affect only the sample spiked, the qualification should be limited to this sample alone. However, it may be determined through the MS/MSD results that the laboratory is having a systematic problem in the analysis of one or more analytes, which affects the associated samples.

2. MS/MSD – Unspiked Compounds

List the concentrations of the unspiked compounds and determine the % RSDs of these compounds in the unspiked sample, matrix spike, and matrix spike duplicate.

COMPOUND	CONCENTRATION		MS	MSD	%RPD	ACTION
	SAMPLE					

Criteria: None specified, use %RSD \leq 50 as professional judgment.

Actions:

If the % RSD > 50, qualify the results in the spiked sample as estimate (J).

If the % RSD is not calculable (NC) due to nondetect value in the sample, MS, and/or MSD, use professional judgment to qualify sample data.

A separate worksheet should be used for each MS/MSD pair.

DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

All criteria were met X
Criteria were not met and/or see below

VIII. LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLE (LCS/LCSD) ANALYSIS

This data is generated to determine accuracy of the analytical method for various matrices.

1. LCS Recoveries Criteria

List the %R of compounds which do not meet the criteria

LCS ID	COMPOUND	% R	QC LIMIT	ACTION
<u> LCS_RECOVERY_WITHIN_LABORATORY_CONTROL_LIMITS </u>				

Criteria:

- * Refer to QAPP for specific criteria.
- * The spike recovery must be between 40% and 140%. Lower recoveries of n-nonane are permissible. If the recovery of n-nonane is <30%, note the nonconformance in the executive narrative. RPD between LCS/LCSD must be < 25%.

Actions:

Actions on LCS recovery should be based on both the number of compounds that are outside the %R and RPD criteria and the magnitude of the exceedance of the criteria.

If the %R of the analyte is > UL, qualify all positive results (j) for the affected analyte in the associated samples and accept nondetects.

If the %R of the analyte is < LL, qualify all positive results (j) and reject (R) nondetects for the affected analyte in the associated samples.

If more than half the compounds in the LCS are not within the required recovery criteria, qualify all positive results as (J) and reject nondetects (R) for all target analyte(s) in the associated samples.

2. Frequency Criteria:

Where LCS analyzed at the required frequency and for each matrix (1 per 20 samples per matrix)? Yes or No.

If no, the data may be affected. Use professional judgment to determine the severity of the effect and qualify data accordingly. Discuss any actions below and list the samples affected. Discuss the actions below:

DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

All criteria were met X
 Criteria were not met and/or see below

IX. FIELD/LABORATORY DUPLICATE PRECISION

Sample IDs: - Matrix: -

Field/laboratory duplicate samples may be taken and analyzed as an indication of overall precision. These analyses measure both field and lab precision; therefore, the results may have more variability than laboratory duplicates which measures only laboratory performance. It is also expected that soil duplicate results will have a greater variance than water matrices due to difficulties associated with collecting identical field duplicate samples.

COMPOUND	SQL	SAMPLE CONC.	DUPLICATE CONC.	RPD	ACTION
No field/laboratory duplicate analyzed with this data package. MS/MSD % recovery RPD used to assess precision. RPD within laboratory and validation guidance document control limits (+ 50 %) for analytes detected at a concentration > SQL.					

Criteria:

The project QAPP should be reviewed for project-specific information.
 RPD \pm 30% for aqueous samples, RPD \pm 50 % for solid samples if results are \geq SQL.
 If both samples and duplicate are <5 SQL, the RPD criteria is doubled.

SQL = soil quantitation limit

Actions:

If both the sample and the duplicate results are nondetects (ND), the RPD is not calculable (NC). No action is needed.

Qualify as estimated positive results (J) and nondetects (UJ) for the compound that exceeded the above criteria.

If one sample result is not detected and the other is \geq 5x the SQL qualify (J/UJ).

Note: If SQLs for the sample and duplicate are significantly different, use professional judgment to determine if qualification is appropriate.

If one sample value is not detected and the other is < 5x the SQL, use professional judgment to determine if qualification is appropriate.

All criteria were met X
 Criteria were not met and/or see below

XI. COMPOUND IDENTIFICATION

The compound identification evaluation is to verify that the laboratory correctly identified target analytes as well as tentatively identified compounds (TICs).

1. Verify that the target analytes were within the retention time windows.
 - Retention time windows must be re-established for each Target EPH Analyte each time a new GC column is installed, and must be verified and/or adjusted on a daily basis.
 - The n-nonane (n-C9) peak must be adequately resolved from the solvent front of the chromatographic run.
 - All surrogates must be adequately resolved from the Aliphatic Hydrocarbon and Aromatic Hydrocarbon standards.
 - For the purposes of this method, adequate resolution is assumed to be achieved if the height of the valley between two peaks is less than 25% of the average height of the two peaks.
 - The n-pentane (C5) and MtBE peaks must be adequately resolved from any solvent front that may be present on the FID and PID chromatograms, respectively.
- 1a. Aliphatic hydrocarbons range:
 - Determine the total area count for all peaks eluting 0.1 minutes before the retention time (Rt) for n-C9 and 0.01 minutes before the Rt for n-C19.
 - Determine the total area count for all peaks eluting 0.01 minutes before the Rt for n-C19 and 0.1 minutes after the Rt for n-C36.

Are the aliphatic hydrocarbons range properly determined? Yes? or No?

Comments:

- 1b. Aromatic hydrocarbons range:
 - Determine the total area count for all peaks eluting 0.1 minutes before the retention time (Rt) for naphthalene and 0.1 minutes after the Rt for benzo(g,h,i)perylene.
 - Determine the peak area count for the sample surrogate (OTP) and fractionation surrogate(s). Subtract these values from the collective area count value.

Are the aliphatic hydrocarbons range properly determined? Yes? or No?

Comments:

DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

All criteria were met X
Criteria were not met and/or see below

2. If target analytes and/or TICs were not correctly identified, request that the laboratory resubmit the corrected data.
3. Breakthrough determination - Each sample (field and QC sample) must be evaluated for potential breakthrough on a sample specific basis by evaluating the % recovery of the fractionation surrogate (2-bromonaphthalene) and on a batch basis by quantifying naphthalene and 2-methylnaphthalene in both the aliphatic and aromatic fractions of the LCS and LCSD. **If either the concentration of naphthalene or 2-methylnaphthalene in the aliphatic fraction exceeds 5% of the total concentration for naphthalene or 2-methylnaphthalene in the LCS or LCSD, fractionation must be repeated on all archived batch extracts.**

NOTE: The total concentration of naphthalene or 2-methylnaphthalene in the LCS/LCSD pair includes the summation of the concentration detected in the aliphatic fraction and the concentration detected in the aromatic fraction.

Comments: Concentration in the aliphatic fraction < 5% of the total
concentration for naphthalene and 2-methylnaphthalene

4. **Fractionation Check Standard** – A fractionation check solution is prepared containing 14 alkanes and 17 PAHs at a nominal concentration of 200 ng/μl of each constituent. The Fractionation Check Solution must be used to evaluate the fractionation efficiency of each new lot of silica gel/cartridges, and establish the optimum hexane volume required to efficiently elute aliphatic hydrocarbons while not allowing significant aromatic hydrocarbon breakthrough. For each analyte contained in the fractionation check solution, excluding n-nonane, the Percent Recovery must be between 40 and 140%. A 30% Recovery is acceptable for n-nonane.

Is a fractionation check standard analyzed?

Yes? or No?

Comments: Not applicable.

DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

All criteria were met X
Criteria were not met and/or see below

XII. QUANTITATION LIMITS AND SAMPLE RESULTS

The sample quantitation evaluation is to verify laboratory quantitation results.

In order to demonstrate the absence of aliphatic mass discrimination, the response ratio of C28 to C20 must be at least 0.85. If <0.85, this nonconformance must be noted in the laboratory case narrative.

The chromatograms of Continuing Calibration Standards for aromatics must be reviewed to ensure that there are no obvious signs of mass discrimination.

Is aliphatic mass discrimination observed in the sample? Yes? or No?

Is aromatic mass discrimination observed in the sample? Yes? or No?

1. In the space below, please show a minimum of one sample calculation:

MC46976-1 EPH (C11 – C22, Aromatics) RF = 124800

[] = (2464000)/(124800)

[] = 19.74 ppb Ok

MC46976-1 EPH (C19 – C36, Aliphatics) RF = 77820

[] = (1054863)/(77820)

[] = 13.56 ppb Ok

DATA REVIEW WORKSHEETS

2. If requested, verify that the results were above the laboratory method detection limit (MDLs).
3. If dilutions performed, were the SQLs elevated accordingly by the laboratory? List the affected samples and dilution factor in the table below.

SAMPLE ID	DILUTION FACTOR	REASON FOR DILUTION

If dilution was not performed, estimate results (J) for the affected compounds. List the affected samples/compounds:
